

UNSEALED

185,000 Views. Zero Evidence.

A viral tweet claims DOJ file EFTA00080475 proves 'directed energy weapons' killed Epstein. The document is a tip letter from a stranger. Here's what it actually says.

Unsealed Research Team

2026-03-26

A tweet is making the rounds. 185,000 views and climbing. It cites a DOJ file number — EFTA00080475 — and claims the document explains how “driving people to commit suicide is a common practice” using directed energy weapons. The implication: the government’s own files prove Epstein was murdered with space-age technology.

We pulled the document. We read it. All three pages.

It’s a tip letter. From a stranger. Written to the US Attorney’s office. And it is — there’s no gentle way to put this — unhinged.

What the Document Actually Is

EFTA00080475 is addressed to “The Honorable Geoffrey Berman, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York.” It was submitted to the DOJ and included in the Epstein file release as part of Dataset 9 — the dataset that contains tips, correspondence, and other materials received by investigators.¹

It is not an FBI report. It is not a prosecutor’s finding. It is not the product of any investigation. It is a letter someone mailed to the government. The DOJ released it because they released *everything* — tips included.

The distinction matters. A document *in* the Epstein files is not the same as a document *produced by* the Epstein investigation. Dataset 9 contains thousands of pages of public correspondence, tips, and administrative records. Citing a tip letter as government evidence is like citing a letter to Santa as North Pole policy.

What It Actually Says

The letter claims that a “prostitution and child molesting and sex trafficking syndicate” operates through something called “the Ashley Madison network.” So far, a person skimming might think this sounds serious.

Then it explains the mechanism:

■ *People in apartments use **radio transmitters** that “send a signal of RF, audio and video” to “interfere with their central nervous system.”¹*

These transmitters — which the author says “are readily visible from the street as satellite dishes” — allegedly cause “tactile, auditory and visual hallucinations” and are “used extensively to molest children.”

The author claims this “**Ham Radio technique**” is how Epstein was harassed in prison:

“This technology is what was used to communicate to and harass Jeffrey Epstein while in prison. Driving people to commit suicide is a common practice with these transmitters.”¹

That’s the line the viral tweet quoted. Stripped from its context — a letter about mind-control satellite dishes and Ham Radio child molestation — it sounds like a government finding. In context, it’s a person describing a reality that does not exist.

The letter goes on to claim that “almost every apartment” in the author’s building contains transmitters, that a 4th-floor apartment contains “an immense child pornography library” identical to Epstein’s, and that the funding flows through Deutsche Bank. It names specific people — neighbors, apparently — and accuses them of participating in the network.

Why This Matters

This isn’t about mocking someone who may be unwell. It’s about what happens when 185,000 people see a cherry-picked quote from a document none of them will read.

The Epstein files contain **1.3 million pages** of documents. Among them are flight logs, financial records, FBI interview transcripts, wire transfer confirmations, and internal correspondence between prosecutors. There are real documents that reveal real things about real people — names, dates, dollar amounts, locations.

There are also tip letters. Administrative cover sheets. Duplicate filings. Junk.

When a viral tweet presents a tip letter as evidence, two things happen:

First, it poisons the well. Every person who shares the “directed energy weapons” claim and later learns it’s a tip letter becomes less likely to trust the *next* Epstein document they see — even if that one is a wire transfer confirmation from Deutsche Bank or an FBI evidence log.

Second, it buries the real story. While 185,000 people are debating Ham Radio mind control, the actual documents sit unread. The ones with tracking numbers. The ones with signatures. The ones marked “Confidential Treatment Requested by JPMorgan Chase.”

How to Read an EFTA Document

Every document in the Epstein release has an EFTA number. But not every EFTA document carries the same weight. Here’s a quick guide:

What to look for	What it means
Letterhead (FBI, DOJ, court)	Produced by investigators or the court system
“To” vs “From”	A letter <i>to</i> the US Attorney is a tip. A letter <i>from</i> the US Attorney is a finding.
Dataset number	DS1-4 are core investigation materials. DS9 includes tips and correspondence.
Signatures and titles	“Special Agent,” “Assistant US Attorney” = institutional. No title = public submission.
Internal markings	“JPMC Internal Use Only,” “Confidential Treatment Requested” = institutional records

EFTA00080475 has no letterhead, no signature block, no institutional marking. It’s addressed *to* the government. It’s from Dataset 9. It’s a tip.

The Documents That Actually Matter

If you want to know what the DOJ files reveal about the circumstances around Epstein’s death, read the institutional records — not the tip letters. The FBI evidence recovery logs.² The internal DOJ correspondence about sealed trial exhibits.³ The financial transaction records showing the network kept running after his death.

Those documents don’t need to be stripped of context to be alarming. They’re alarming *in* context. That’s how you know they’re real.

Read the documents yourself: [Search the 1.3M document corpus](#) □

Sources & Documents

1. **EFTA00080475** — Tip letter to US Attorney Geoffrey Berman (SDNY), Dataset 9. Claims radio transmitter network used to harass Epstein in prison. [View](#) □
2. **EFTA00018855** — FBI evidence recovery log. [View](#) □
3. **EFTA01657158** — FBI/DOJ internal correspondence re: sealed Maxwell trial exhibits. [View](#) □